

My drawers are full of sketchbooks. I draw compulsively.

This project began when I opened a drawer containing 20 years of pocket sketchbooks, and realised that as I flicked through them that each drawing held "memory particles" small details that triggered memories of places, people, snippets of sounds, smells, or connections to the creation of the drawing.



I engaged upon this project as both an academic and a practitioner. Here are some examples of "meeting notes" I discovered in those drawers. Some are drawn on scraps of paper and even on important handouts!

These represent moments of deep concentration combined with embedding of (important) information.



These are some of my own "Lecture notes", taken when I am sitting where you are sitting.

The creation of a drawing may be viewed as a means through which thought can be made tangible. OR something that occurs "when two surfaces rub together and make a memory"

For my research study the physical act of creating the drawing is of primary importance. However the act of making creates an artefact, the drawing itself, which acts as a catalyst to enhance memory recall.



In this project drawing has less to do with the artefact and more to do with the physical act.

By looking at the physicality behind the creation of a mark, the project aims to further understanding of how drawing can aid and prompt better communication and subsequently examines whether this can trigger memory recall.

By looking at the physicality behind the creation of a mark, the project aims to further understanding of how drawing can aid and prompt better communication and subsequently examines whether this can trigger memory recall.

Relationships between the physical act of drawing and memory recall are used to explore how the frame of reference for drawing might be extended beyond the field of art and design and the creation of an artefact to have greater social purpose.

Exploration beyond art and design extends the research focus beyond those who use drawing as part of their practice into territory where drawing is unfamiliar, leading it to assume the role of a mnemonic device. In comparing drawing with the use of a mechanical device such as a camera to capture memories as a single image, Gemma Anderson describes viewing a drawing as; "a way of recalling a story that activates the connection between memory and embodied experience as opposed to recalling an instantaneous picture." (Anderson, 2017 20)

DRAWING LABORATORY

A series of memory drawing workshops at CSM in 2016 inspired by "The Training of the Memory in Art" by Horace Lecoq de Boisbaudran AKA Père Lecoq



In 2016 I ran this co curricular project at CSM.

As a result I wrote an article in Spark- UAL peer review journal.....this was the start of my research journey



Drawing exerts its memorial benefits through integration of several different types of memory codes into one cohesive "trace". Based on this premise, it appears clear that drawing can improve memory

Drawing can encourage visual analysis and help establish concentration. The act of creating a drawing uses a combination of skills: elaboration, visual imagery, motor action, and picture memory.

A key quote from their research resonated.....

"In the case of its use as a tool in first-person witness statements all of these mechanisms can be used to enhance memory and recall performance (Wammes, Meade and Fernandes, 2016)



After meeting 2 fellow researchers from KCL faculty of law Drawing for Justice began.

<section-header><list-item><list-item>

The research hypothesis for this project is that the motor activity of drawing on paper, which does not require any artistic skill, can increase an eyewitness's ability to recall details of an offender fixed in his or her memory following a criminal incident.

The project uses a Pilot Study to test whether the physical act of drawing can improve recall in eyewitness testimonies.

This research project aims to raise awareness about the value of drawing as a tool for social purpose and to create new training opportunities to help those in criminal justice responsible for taking or managing witness statements, to understand the hidden value of information produced via the process of drawing. In this project drawing is used to reanimate or get to the truth of something that happened in the past, remembering and drawing are intrinsically linked since it is the primary intention of the Pilot Study to collect data as evidence that the physical act of drawing, creating a connection between hand, eye and brain, can serve as a trigger for recall. (1 min)

CONTEXT

Within the legal field, there is almost unanimous agreement, that mistaken
identification is the most common cause of miscarriages of justice and is the primary
cause of wrongful convictions. Findings from the Innocence Project, (Dwyer, Neufeld
and Scheck, 2000) demonstrate that 60% of wrongful convictions have been based (at
least in part) on mistaken frontal identification by eyewitnesses or victims of crime.

- Mistaken identifications can occur because of inherent biases and weaknesses of human memory.
- This suggests a need for improved tools, techniques, and training to address how
 eyewitness statements are taken and how training can be improved for those taking
 them

A 2019 Parliamentary report observed that vulnerable witnesses, for example, children with autism, older adults, or people with neurodiversity, may find standard procedures for gathering witness statements intimidating and advises adaptations to reduce intimidation such as "Allowing witnesses to draw events (sketching) as well as or instead of, describing them during investigative interviews can help reduce memory contamination and is less time-consuming and demanding" (('Improving Eyewitness Testimony', 2019)

PILOT STUDY - METHODOLOGY

- A pilot study was conducted across three universities: University of the Arts London (CSM), King's College London (KCL), and Università di Firenze. The study involved staging a criminal incident, after which participants were split into two groups:
- 1. Drawing Group Participants sketched their recollection of the suspect before viewing a lineup. They are given a pencil and sheet of A4 on a clipboard and asked to draw their recollection of the person they saw. After 4 minutes of drawing, they are asked to review a photo line-up
- 2. Non-Drawing (Control) Group Participants identified the suspect from a lineup without drawing. After 4 minutes, they are asked to review a photo line-up

Methodology

A pilot study was conducted across three universities: University of the Arts London (CSM), King's College London (KCL), and Università di Firenze. The study involved staging a criminal incident, after which participants were split into two groups:

- 1. Drawing Group Participants sketched their recollection of the suspect before viewing a lineup.
- 2. Non-Drawing (Control) Group Participants identified the suspect from a lineup without drawing.



Im going to show you 2 line ups See if you can spot the difference...and make a note of which number that is



Did you get it?

In Florence without funding to take our original suspect one of the research team had to step in.....note the age difference



We used written forms to capture identification details about participants. The middle shows the sheet Drawing Group participants used to draw their recollection of the suspect. It intentionally used half the A4 sheet in order not to encourage a "doodle" and avoid "fear of a blank canvas"



- In the initial Pilot carried out at CSM and KCL in Autumn 2023, participants took part in the two-hour experiment voluntarily as part of the university's Welcome Week.
- The recruitment at CSM was based on a discussion with academic support tutors who were organising welcome week activities to attract new undergraduate participants from across a range of creative courses and disciplines.
- In CSM study drawing and non drawing participants were randomly allocated



- At KCL recruits were drawn from new undergraduate students signed up to Law courses. Drawing was not mentioned as playing any role in the activity as this will have an impact on the bias of the data. However following the students noted surprise at being asked to draw on their first day as law students!
- In KCL study drawing and non drawing participants were randomly allocated



• In Univ Firenze study drawing and non drawing participants were allocated by the research team with drawing to the right non drawing to left of the room.



Pilot studies at CSM and KCL were recorded on video, before the mock witness event a film crew was already in place



These participants were selected from BA students attending Welcome Week activities Art students at CSM showed slightly higher recall through drawing Possibly Art students are "visually literate", more accustomed to using drawings a tool



Law students at KCL added written annotations to their drawings, without this being requested. -Possibly law students have Forensic mindsets? Or they don't trust their drawing skills

KCL Total	34		CSM Total Participants	39		Florence Total Participants	48	
SUCCESSFUL ID	20	58.82%	SUCCESSFUL ID	12	30.76%	SUCCESSFUL ID	37	77.00%
DRAWING	10	29.41%	DRAWING	7	17.94%	DRAWING	14	29.16%
NON DRAWING	10	29.41%	NON DRAWING	5	12.82%	NON DRAWING	23	47.90%
NON ID	10	29.41%	NON ID	16	30.76%	NON ID	8	16.60%
NCORRECT	4	11.76%	INCORRECT	9	26.47%	INCORRECT	3	6.25%

KEY FINDINGS

- CSM Results: 30.76% successfully identified the suspect, with drawing participants having a slightly higher recall rate (17.94%) than non-drawing (12.82%).
- KCL Results: 58.82% successfully identified the suspect, with equal success between the drawing and non-drawing groups.
- Florence Results: Unexpectedly, non-drawing participants (47.9%) performed better than the drawing group (29.16%)

Key Findings

- CSM Results: 30.76% successfully identified the suspect, with drawing participants having a slightly higher recall rate (17.94%) than non-drawing (12.82%).
- KCL Results: 58.82% successfully identified the suspect, with equal success between the drawing and non-drawing groups.
- Florence Results: Unexpectedly, non-drawing participants (47.9%) performed better than the drawing group (29.16%).



Estimator variables are described as "factors that can affect the accuracy of eyewitness identifications but that are outside of the control of the criminal justice system. Examples include the duration of exposure to the perpetrator, the passage of time between the crime and the identification (retention interval), the distance between the witness and the perpetrator at the time of the crime."

Estimator variables should be considered when setting up the room in which pilot studies are conducted.

Participants must have a good line of sight to the "suspect," to ensure they all have the potential to successfully identify the suspect.

The room layout in Florence University may have had some influence on the outcomes since the tables on the right-hand side – where the drawing groups were seated in both the morning and afternoon pilots – did not have an equivalent line of sight to the "suspect."

CONCLUSIONS

- Drawing can serve as a useful memory-enhancing tool but is influenced by contextual and procedural factors.
- Future iterations should refine suspect visibility, participant allocation, and lineup standardisation to ensure more reliable results.
- The study supports further exploration of drawing as a training tool for law enforcement in improving eyewitness testimony accuracy.

SUBSEQUENT PHASES

1: DEVELOPMENT 2: PILOT STUDY

3:ANIMATED DOCUMENTARY 4:TOOL DEVELOPMENT 5: UAL/COP SHORT COURSE 6: CO-AUTHORED ARTICLE