

The Arts in HCI Tapestry: Networking, Making, and Reflecting Together

Makayla Lewis
m.m.lewis@kingston.ac.uk
Kingston University
United Kingdom

Denise Lengyel
denise.lengyel@ncl.ac.uk
Newcastle University
United Kingdom

Miriam Sturdee
ms535@st-andrews.ac.uk
University of St Andrews
United Kingdom

Nick Bryan-Kinns
n.bryankinns@arts.ac.uk
Creative Computing Institute,
University of the Arts London
United Kingdom

Mafalda Gamboa
mafalda.gamboa@chalmers.se
Chalmers University of Technology
Sweden

Gabriella Di Feola
gabriella@difeola.com
Independent Artist and Designer
Sweden

Swen Gaudl
swen.gaudl@gu.se
Gothenburg University & Seam Colab
Ltd.
Sweden

Silvia Carderelli-Gronau
m.carderelli-gronau@bathspa.ac.uk
Independent Artist and Bath Spa
University
United Kingdom

Joseph Lindley
j.lindley@lancaster.ac.uk
Lancaster University
United Kingdom

Sarah Fdili Alaoui
s.fdilialaoui@arts.ac.uk
Creative Computing Institute,
University of the Arts London
United Kingdom

Gerard Nolan
k0210589@kingston.ac.uk
Kingston University
United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Throughout history, the arts and creative practices have played a pivotal role in HCI. They serve as inspirations, challenges, and innovative avenues for learning and extending HCI methods. While HCI often prioritises empirical evidence and outcomes, the art world emphasises diversity, process, and personal experiences. As generative AI and interdisciplinary collaboration grow, the relationship between art and HCI is undergoing a transformative shift, affecting how we make and think. Tapestries have long recorded changing narratives, practices, memories, and identities, capturing transformation. By tradition, they are collaborative productions of skilled craftspeople. Inspired by this, the meetup invites artists, designers, makers, technologists, researchers, educators, and others to create a shared tapestry ‘beyond warp and weft’. Attendees may contribute sensory elements to a paper surface (warp), including visual, tactile, auditory, kinaesthetic, gustatory, olfactory, cross-sensory, and social aspects (weft). The completed tapestry serves as a collective narrative that encapsulates the shared experiences of participants.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**.

KEYWORDS

HCI, Arts, Tapestry, Meetup

ACM Reference Format:

Makayla Lewis, Denise Lengyel, Miriam Sturdee, Nick Bryan-Kinns, Mafalda Gamboa, Gabriella Di Feola, Swen Gaudl, Silvia Carderelli-Gronau, Joseph Lindley, Sarah Fdili Alaoui, and Gerard Nolan. 2026. The Arts in HCI Tapestry: Networking, Making, and Reflecting Together. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2026 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '26)*, April 13–17, 2026, Barcelona, Spain. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3772363.3778781>

1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Human Computer Interaction (HCI) is a hybrid practice built on cross-pollination from various fields and disciplines, utilising human and non-human experiences. Though there’s no single definition, HCI encompasses diverse special interest groups, from sociological computer-supported cooperative work to experiential soma design or first-person research. HCI underpins our technological world and supports all its users. As part of this rich practice, HCI has embraced the creative arts through embedded research, borrowed strategies, and even a technological lens on creators. This facet of HCI offers talented individuals with diverse narratives that support and extend our field’s methodologies, moving beyond the

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).
CHI EA '26, April 13–17, 2026, Barcelona, Spain
© 2026 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).
ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-2281-3/2026/04.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3772363.3778781>

‘traditional’, [3]. As generative AI and interdisciplinary collaboration rise, the art-HCI relationship shifts, affecting how we make and think, art- and research-making processes, ethics, accountability, and philosophical research views and conceptions of rigour, e.g., [12, 30, 39].



Figure 1: (1a left) Victoria and Albert Museum, London. Medieval and Renaissance Galleries. Photograph by Dr. Makayla Lewis, 2025. Inclusion of subject shown with permission. (1b right) Arts in HCI Tapestry Setup: Top Left - Space Plan; Top Right - Simplistic GAI visual meetup concepts; and Bottom - Tapestry deconstruction and construction.

Tapestries, as artefacts, embody history. From medieval storytelling textiles to contemporary woven artworks, they’ve functioned as decorative, narrative, and documentary media, encoding culture, practices, identities, and memories, see Figure 1a). They’ve recorded transformative shifts and captured narratives of transformation, storing and processing data in their woven images, warps, cartoons, and wefts, [45]. Tapestries have changed due to technological advancements and AI, affecting their production and art-making process, similar to the transformative shift in arts and HCI. Despite these changes, tapestries remain “communal, requiring collective labour, and durable, often passed down for generations”, [39]. And, though they have been described as “seem[ing] anachronistic in the [fast] world in which we live”, they are still making an impact by “tak[ing] the time to do things with humanity and soul”, Marie-Hélène Bersani in [23]—which resonates with the ‘slow design’ and ‘slow science’ tenets championed by embodied design and arts in HCI, [26, 27]. Tapestry’s symbolism and parallels in technological developments resonate with our effort to map the evolving relationship between art and HCI, e.g., [34].

2 GOAL OF THE MEET-UP

Similarly to [46], who explored tapestries of trust in design research, the informal Arts in HCI Tapestry meetup is designed to encourage active networking through the art of making, to “work as a team [and] embrace a mutual responsibility for the tapestry”, [39]. Attendees will co-create a physical tapestry (see Figure 1b)—of their visual [6, 8, 13, 15–17, 19, 20, 29, 33, 35, 51], tactile [34, 44, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 58], auditory [4, 5, 9, 22, 24, 25, 34, 47, 55], kinesthetic [1, 7, 34, 43, 51, 57], gustatory [43, 56], olfactory [2, 10, 34, 38, 40, 42], cross-sensory [14, 18, 34, 36, 41, 43], and social [28, 31, 34, 37] works. The tapestry, a visual and material representation of our collective HCI practices, serves as a boundary object and shared artefact, [21]. It is a story of “us” that can be carried forward and shared beyond the meetup.

3 DESCRIPTION OF THE COMMUNITY

The *Arts in HCI Tapestry* meet-up brings together artists, researchers, educators, industry professionals, students, and others interested in art practice within HCI.

4 SCHEDULE OF THE MEET-UP

The *Arts in HCI Tapestry* meetup balances making, networking, and reflection. Float times ensure smooth running: **Part 1: Welcome, Introduction, and Prompt (10 minutes)**. Organisers introduce the guiding prompt: your artistic practice in HCI. **Part 2: Creating the Tapestry (60 minutes)**. Attendees contribute to a shared paper tapestry (Figure 1b, right). Attendees can view others’ contributions, discuss AI, artistic identity, and interdisciplinary collaboration, network, and reflect on their practices. Organisers provide art supplies, instant cameras, and printers, but attendees can bring their own artefacts. **Part 3: Collective Reflection (20 minutes)**. Organisers and participants reflect on the completed tapestry, sharing insights and new collaborations. The meetup is inclusive with adaptable spaces for quieter participation, wheelchair users, and seated engagement (Figure 1b, right). It welcomes diverse contributions, including from attendees with children.

5 ORGANISERS AND SUITABILITY

The interdisciplinary organising team, comprising educators, artists, students, makers, and researchers, bridges the gap between the arts and HCI. With organising and facilitating meetups, workshops, courses, and panels. **Makayla Lewis** Senior Lecturer and Artist in Computer Science at Kingston University, London. Her interests include accessibility, UX design, and integrating sketching/arts in designing emerging technology., e.g., [30, 32, 35]. **Denise Lengyel** Visiting Researcher at Newcastle University, UK, and a Consultant for HCI and Interaction Design. Her research explores arts-based methods like drawing, storytelling, and dance using empirical and theoretical approaches. e.g., [29, 34]. **Miriam Sturdee** Senior Lecturer at the University of St Andrews, UK, specialising in sketching to design complex technologies and arts-based approaches in STEM, focusing on future interactions and user experiences. e.g., [50, 52]. **Nick Bryan-Kinns** Professor of Creative Computing at the Creative Computing Institute, University of the Arts London. He researches the intersection of AI and the Arts focussing on Responsible and Explainable AI for the Arts, [11, 12]. **Mafalda Gamboa** Lecturer in Interaction Design at Chalmers with an Architecture master’s, contributing to research in Interaction Design, Game Design, Architecture, and Virtual Reality. **Gabriella Di Feola** Interdisciplinary artist with an MFA in Design from HDK-Valand, University of Gothenburg, her research explores social norms, cultural heritage, and reality perception, examining the dynamic relationship between art and its audience. **Swen Gaudl** Senior Lecturer in Interaction Design at the University of Gothenburg, co-founded Seam CoLab, interested in novel interaction mechanisms, robotic movement expression and dance movement sonification. **Silvia Carderelli-Gronau** Movement artist, filmmaker, teacher, researcher, dance-movement therapist. She’s been developing her practice and research in somatics, embodiment, improvisation, relational practices, and dialogue with creative technologies. **Joseph Lindley** Senior Research Fellow at Lancaster University where his

work orients around a passion for the value art, design and practice as key enablers in research. **Sarah Fdili Alaoui** Reader at the Creative Computing Institute at the University of the Arts London, teaching Interaction Design, HCI, and Dance and Technologies. **Gerard Nolan** First-year PhD student at Kingston University, UK, his research focuses on neurodiversity and mental health, using art therapy, especially sketching, as a therapeutic approach.

REFERENCES

- [1] Catarina Allen d'Ávila Silveira, Ozgun Kilic Afsar, and Sarah Fdili Alaoui. 2022. Wearable choreographer: designing soft-robotics for dance practice. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference*. 1581–1596.
- [2] Judith Amores, Robert Richer, Nan Zhao, Pattie Maes, and Bjoern M Eskofier. 2018. Promoting relaxation using virtual reality, olfactory interfaces and wearable EEG. In *2018 IEEE 15th international conference on wearable and implantable body sensor networks (BSN)*. IEEE, 98–101.
- [3] Jeffrey Bardzell. 2014. Critical and cultural approaches to HCI. *The SAGE handbook of digital technology research* (2014), 130–143.
- [4] Olivier Bau, Atau Tanaka, and Wendy E Mackay. 2008. The A20: Musical metaphors for interface design. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression*. 91–96.
- [5] Armi Behzad. 2024. Making Space for Poems: An Invitation to More-than-Human Design. In *Proceedings of the Halfway to the Future Symposium*. 1–6.
- [6] Jesse Josua Benjamin and Joseph Lindley. 2024. Shadowplay: An Embodied AI Art Installation. In *Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction (TEI '24)*. ACM, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3623509.3635318>
- [7] Johannes Birringer and Michèle Danjoux. 2023. Wearable technology for the performing arts. In *Smart clothes and wearable technology*. Elsevier, 529–571.
- [8] Eli Blevis. 2016. Being Photo-Visual in HCI and Design. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*. 983–995.
- [9] Kyle Booten and Katy Ilonka Gero. 2021. Poetry machines: Eliciting designs for interactive writing tools from poets. In *Proceedings of the 13th Conference on Creativity and Cognition*. 1–5.
- [10] Jas Brooks and Pedro Lopes. 2023. Smell & paste: Low-fidelity prototyping for olfactory experiences. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–16.
- [11] Nick Bryan-Kinns. 2024. Reflections on explainable AI for the arts (XAIXArts). *Interactions* 31, 1 (2024), 43–47.
- [12] Nick Bryan-Kinns, Shuoyang Zheng, Francisco Castro, Makayla Lewis, Jia-Rey Chang, Gabriel Vigliani, Terence Broad, Michael Paul Clemens, and Elizabeth Wilson. 2025. XAIXArts Manifesto: Explainable AI for the Arts. In *Proceedings of the Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '25)*. ACM, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3706599.3716227>
- [13] Gabriella Di Feola. 2021. Asylum imago (Digital exhibition, Proof of concept). [Online]. <https://gabrielladifeola.com/Asylum-imago> [Accessed last: 30/09/2025, 22:19].
- [14] Gabriella Di Feola. 2024. *Child Remains—Screening The Family Tree*. Master's thesis. HDK Valand, University of Gothenburg. <https://gupea.ub.gu.se/handle/2077/83749>
- [15] Gabriella Di Feola. 2024. MOURNING A STRANGER: An exhibition together with Hugo Pilate. [Online]. <https://gabrielladifeola.com/MOURNING-A-STRANGER> [Accessed last: 30/09/2025, 22:21].
- [16] Gabriella Di Feola. 2025. Screening the Family Trees (Immersive installation, 2023–ongoing). [Online]. <https://gabrielladifeola.com/The-Family-Trees> [Accessed last: 30/09/2025, 22:23].
- [17] Gabriella Di Feola and Ylva Hård af Segerstad. 2025. From Archives to Emotions: XR and Art for Exploring Death and Grief in Cultural Heritage Institutions. In *DDD17 Conference Death, Dying and Disposal 2025*.
- [18] Gabriella Di Feola and Asreen Rostami. 2025. Child Remains: Engaging Grief, Memory, and Cultural Heritage through XR and the Tactility of Absence. In *Proceedings of the 2025 ACM International Conference on Interactive Media Experiences (IMX '25)*. ACM, 412–417. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3706370.3731653>
- [19] Jane E, Cathy Mengying Fang, and Sam Bourgault. 2023. Machines as Collaborators for Art and Rituals. *XRDS: Crossroads, The ACM Magazine for Students* 29, 4 (June 2023), 32–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3596928>
- [20] Ernest Edmonds. 2018. *The art of interaction: What HCI can learn from interactive art*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers.
- [21] Nick J. Fox. 2011. Boundary objects, social meanings and the success of new technologies. *Sociology* 45, 1 (2011), 70–85.
- [22] Swen Gaudl and Silvia Carderelli-Gronau. 2024. Sonic Dancer: A Low-Cost, Sound-Based Device to Explore Shared Movement and Dance Through Generative Live Soundscapes. *Interactions* 31, 1 (2024), 6–9.
- [23] Getty Museum. 2015. The Art of Making a Tapestry. [Online]. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jIbu-dJuEh0> [Accessed last: 29/09/2025 at 16:30].
- [24] Marika Hedemyr. [n. d.]. *Mixed Reality in Public Space: Expanding Composition Practices in Choreography and Interaction Design*. Ph. D. Dissertation. Malmö University. <https://doi.org/10.24834/isbn.9789178773237>
- [25] Marika Hedemyr. 2024. A Choreographic Approach to Mixed Reality: Archival Materials as Site-Specific Situations in Kvarnbyn. *Nordic Journal of Dance* 15, 1 (June 2024), 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.2478/njd-2024-0003>
- [26] Linda Hirsch, Siiri Paananen, Denise Lengyel, Jonna Häkikilä, Georgios Toubekis, Reem Talhouk, and Luke Hespanhol. 2024. Human–Computer Interaction (HCI) Advances to Re-Contextualize Cultural Heritage toward Multiperspectivity, Inclusion, and Sensemaking. *Applied Sciences* 14, 17 (2024), 7652.
- [27] Kristina Höök. 2018. *Designing with the body: Somaesthetic interaction design*. MIT Press.
- [28] Lucy Kimmel, Ezri Carlebach, Hilary Smyth-Allen, Cristian Gherhes, Makayla Lewis, and Tim Vorley. 2021. *AI Readiness: A collaborative design toolkit for professional service firms*. Oxford Books University, Oxford, Practice Management International LLP, Little Berkhamsted.
- [29] Denise Lengyel, Ylva Hård af Segerstad, and Gabriella Di Feola. 2025. “Drawing Bridges”: Sketching Out Ways to Extend Digital Death Methodologies in Human-Computer Interaction and Computer Science. In *DDD17 Conference Death, Dying and Disposal 2025*.
- [30] Makayla Lewis. 2025. Art, Identity, and AI: Navigating Authenticity in Creative Practice. In *Proceedings of the 2025 Conference on Creativity and Cognition (C&C '25)*. ACM, 916–930. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3698061.3726959>
- [31] Makayla Lewis, Lizzie Coles-Kemp, et al. 2014. A tactile visual library to support user experience storytelling. *DS 81: Proceedings of NordDesign 2014, Espoo, Finland 27-29th August 2014* (2014), 386–395.
- [32] Makayla Lewis and Miriam Sturdee. 2024. *Sketching in Human-Computer Interaction – A Practical Guide to Sketching Theory and Application*. Springer UK.
- [33] Makayla Lewis, Miriam Sturdee, Mafalda Gamboa, and Denise Lengyel. 2023. Doodle away: an autoethnographic exploration of doodling as a strategy for self-control strength in online spaces. In *Extended abstracts of the 2023 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 1–13.
- [34] Makayla Lewis, Miriam Sturdee, Denise Lengyel, Mauro Toselli, John Miers, Violet Owen, Josh Urban Davis, Swen E Gaudl, Lanxi Xiao, Ernesto Priego, Kim Snooks, Laia Turmo Vidal, Eli Blevis, Nicola Privato, Patricia Piedade, Corey Ford, Nick Bryan-Kinns, Beatriz Severes, Kirsikka Kaipainen, Caroline Claisse, Raksanda Mehnaz Huq, Mirjam Palosaari Eladhari, Anna Troisi, Ana O Henriques, Ar Grek, Gareth McMurchy, Ray Le, Sara Nabil, Jacinta Jardine, Robert Collins, Andrey Vlasov, Yana Knight, Michele Cremaschi, Silvia Carderelli-Gronau, Claudia Núñez-Pacheco, Gisela Reyes-Cruz, and Jean-Philippe Riviere. 2024. Traveling Arts x HCI Sketchbook: Exploring the Intersection Between Artistic Expression and Human-Computer Interaction. In *Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '24)*. ACM, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613905.3644069>
- [35] Makayla Lewis, Miriam Sturdee, John Miers, Josh Urban Davis, and Thuong Hoang. 2022. Exploring AltNarrative in HCI imagery and comics. In *CHI conference on human factors in computing systems extended abstracts*. 1–13.
- [36] Makayla Lewis, Mauro Toselli, Ruth Baker, Julia Rédei, and Claire Elisabeth Ohlenschläger. 2022. Portraying what is in front of you: virtual tours and online whiteboards to facilitate art practice during the COVID-19 pandemic. In *Proceedings of the 14th Conference on Creativity and Cognition*. 350–363.
- [37] Joseph Lindley, Miriam Sturdee, David Philip Green, and Hayley Alter. 2021. This is Not a Paper: Applying a Design Research lens to video conferencing, publication formats, eggs... and other things. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '21)*. ACM, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3450372>
- [38] Caroline McMillan. 2021. From scent projection to respiratory protection: designing digital olfactory interactions for fashion wearables. *Journal of Textile Design Research and Practice* 9, 1 (2021), 87–118.
- [39] James More, Kaffe Fassett, CHRISTINE McARTHUR, Marta Rogoyska, and Harry Wright. 1992. Tapestry: Art, Craft and Collaboration. *RSA journal* 140, 5433 (1992), 701–707.
- [40] Simon Niedenthal, William Fredborg, Peter Lundén, Marie Ehrndal, and Jonas K Olofsson. 2023. A graspable olfactory display for virtual reality. *International journal of human-computer studies* 169 (2023), 102928.
- [41] Marianna Obrist, Elia Gatti, Emanuela Maggioni, Chi Thanh Vi, and Carlos Velasco. 2017. Multisensory experiences in HCI. *IEEE MultiMedia* 24, 2 (2017), 9–13.
- [42] Marianna Obrist, Alexandre N Tuch, and Kasper Hornbaek. 2014. Opportunities for odor: experiences with smell and implications for technology. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2843–2852.
- [43] Marianna Obrist, Carlos Velasco, Chi Thanh Vi, Nimesha Ranasinghe, Ali Israr, Adrian D Cheok, Charles Spence, and Ponnampalam Gopalakrishnakone. 2016. Touch, taste, & smell user interfaces: The future of multisensory HCI. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI conference extended abstracts on human factors in computing systems*. 3285–3292.

- [44] Mirjam Palosaari Eladhari, Gabriella AB Barros, Alena Denisova, Amy K Hoover, Chengpeng Hu, Leonie Kallabis, Ahmed Khalifa, Matthias Müller-Brockhausen, Gillian Smith, and Anne Sullivan. 2024. Arts & Crafts & Generative AI. *Dagstuhl Reports* 14, 6 (2024), 189–194.
- [45] Sadie Plant. 1997. *Zeros and ones: Digital women and the new technoculture*. Vol. 4. London.
- [46] Bhuvana Sekar, Uttishta Sreerama Varanasi, Rūta Šerpytytė, and Viivi Eskelinen. 2024. Tapestries of trust: using interdisciplinary design research to weave in multi-stakeholder perspectives in the public sector. In *DRS2024: Boston (DRS2024)*. Design Research Society. <https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.788>
- [47] Philippe Strineholm. 2021. Exploring human-robot interaction through explainable AI poetry generation.
- [48] Angelika Strohmayer. 2025. Finding My Place in Academia through Mixed-media Embroidery Practice. *The Making Academic: Perspectives on Expressive Practice and Wellbeing in Higher Education* (2025).
- [49] Miriam Sturdee, Aluna Everitt, Joseph Lindley, Paul Coulton, and Jason Alexander. 2019. Visual methods for the design of shape-changing interfaces. In *IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*. Springer, 337–358.
- [50] Miriam Sturdee, Mafalda Gamboa, and Michael Heron. 2023. TTRPG UX: Requirements & Beyond. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '23)*. ACM, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3582737>
- [51] Miriam Sturdee, Makayla Lewis, Angelika Strohmayer, Katta Spiel, Nantia Koulidou, Sarah Fdili Alaoui, and Josh Urban Davis. 2021. A plurality of practices: artistic narratives in HCI research. In *Proceedings of the 13th Conference on Creativity and Cognition*. 1–14.
- [52] Miriam Sturdee and Joseph Lindley. 2019. Sketching & Drawing as Future Inquiry in HCI. In *Proceedings of the Halfway to the Future Symposium 2019 (HTTF 2019)*. ACM, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3363384.3363402>
- [53] Anne Sullivan. 2020. Embroidered Ephemera: Crafting qualitative data physicalization designs from twitter data. In *Joint Workshops of the International Conference on Computational Creativity*.
- [54] Cyane Tornatzky and Brendan Kelley. 2023. *An Artistic Approach to Virtual Reality*. CRC Press.
- [55] Theophanis Tsandilas, Catherine Letondal, and Wendy E Mackay. 2009. Mus ink: composing music through augmented drawing. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 819–828.
- [56] Chi Thanh Vi, Damien Ablart, Daniel Arthur, and Marianna Obrist. 2017. Gustatory interface: The challenges of 'how'to stimulate the sense of taste. In *Proceedings of the 2nd acm sigchi international workshop on multisensory approaches to human-food interaction*. 29–33.
- [57] Li Ya. 2025. Research on Data Collection and Posture Optimization in Dance Training Using Smart Wearable Devices. *International Journal of High Speed Electronics and Systems* (2025), 2540492.
- [58] Matt Zarek, Michael Layani, Shira Eliazar, Nicola Mansour, Ido Cooperstein, Efrat Shukrun, Atara Szlar, Daniel Cohn, and Shlomo Magdassi. 2016. 4D printing shape memory polymers for dynamic jewellery and fashionwear. *Virtual and Physical Prototyping* 11, 4 (2016), 263–270.