

Title	Raised Eyebrows
Type	Article
URL	http://ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk/9261/
Date	2017
Citation	Minkin, Louisa (2017) Raised Eyebrows. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. ISSN 0959-7743
Creators	Minkin, Louisa

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact ualresearchonline@arts.ac.uk.

License: Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial No Derivatives

Unless otherwise stated, copyright owned by the author

CAMBRIDGE
ARCHAEOLOGICAL JOURNAL



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Raised Eyebrows

Journal:	<i>Cambridge Archaeological Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	CAJ-SC-2016-0015.R1
Manuscript Type:	Short Comments
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Minkin, Louisa; University of the Arts London, Art
Manuscript Keywords:	art, assemblage, skeuomorph

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Louisa Minkin

Bio:

Louisa Minkin is Course Leader for MA Fine Art at Central Saint Martins, University of the Arts London. She studied at the Ruskin School of Drawing and Fine Art, University of Oxford and at the Royal College of Art, London. She is a member of Five Years, a collectively organised artists' project. Awards include the Art Foundation Fellowship in Painting and an Abbey Fellowship at the British School in Rome.

Raised Eyebrows

I'm standing in front of a 'painting' by Niki de Saint Phalle called 'Green Sky'. It happens that I'm standing in front of it in Tokyo where the intense nihon-spectral activity on my eu-retina might indeed make the sky green.

This painting is a couple of years older than me. The deposition, or the stuff stuck in the paint, is a collection, or bundling, of 'throwaway' [deposable] cultural components from the early 60's. A toy gun, fake hair, a comb, suspenders, a miniature wreath. It looks culturally irrelevant, faded pink, nostalgic in a general sense. The tiny gun and baby shoe together were always going to add a bit of a frisson, constituting, as they do, a *komplex*. Where there might be anything interesting remaining here must be in the capacities of the objects and their bringing together in the cultural container of a painting. Art historian David Joselit has described paintings as reservoirs of temporal experience—"time batteries"—"exorbitant stockpiles" of experience and information. 'Green Sky' works on the decontextualisation of family objects from the living room floor re-assembled into a culturally and economically valuable unit, passing through the internationally undifferentiated art circuit. It travels partly as niki-

reliquary, an object of piety or ostensorium. Most recent point of exposition, The National Art Centre, Tokyo. Benediction here is an electronic version of Auld Lang Syne at closing time. On leaving the museum a traffic policeman waves me across the road with a light-sabre. Wandering in Tokyo presents compelling evidence for the uneven distribution of the future; a future that was imagined in our past and since got dusty.

I am reading Andy's text over matcha tea and sugar 'subtleties'.

It has been folded into a book, Benjamin on Brecht:

On the wall was chalked;

They want war.

The man who wrote it

Has already fallen.

These inscriptions are not, like those of the Romans, intended for stone but, like those of underground fighters, for fences.

Humans and objects are mutually constituted through their interactions. Archaeology produces objects. It also produces insights for contemporary art practice. If it is a trick of philosophers to use etymology as divination, then spending time with

archaeologists as they weigh and scrutinise things in an archive might give a glimpse, of horse, man and stirrup operating together. The process of transdisciplinary exchange itself – applying method from one discipline to another - could be considered skeuomorphic. What emerges from such an exchange may be proleptic¹ [or prolapse]. You could call it a level violation. The skeuomorph is a figure of anachronism, a temporal or material inconsistency of method and material, it messes up all those neat distinctions between form and function, truth to materials. A change of materiality is a familiar trope in art, one of the key transformations, a material displacement rather than a contextual one: Meret Oppenheim's fur tea cup or Jeff Koons' mirrored steel balloon dogs for instance.

Art objects tend to escape the conditions of their making to create meaning in different times and places. I would argue with Andy that 'Neolithic motifs and decorated artefacts are not simply static designs to be compiled and analysed in the academic corpus'' and say that they are, even now, active devices for visibly connecting communities. They belong to more than one historical moment simultaneously. Jane Bennett cites Bernard Stiegler's reflections on tool-use producing an interiority, engendering a being with an inside. This might apply to advanced recording technology as well as to hand fabrication.

¹ Prolepsis: The representation of a thing as existing before it actually does or did so, as in *he was a dead man when he entered*.

Deep in the west country the 'ancestors' extend themselves into the world and into time, burying a pig, a sandstone ball, a lump of chalk. These are not lost objects², not casually discarded, but deposited, got rid of, with labour and intensity: expelled, separated. They have been dug up again with considerable effort and no little danger: a seven metre pit, manual labour and repurposed farm machinery hauling out millenia of sedimentation onto the spoil heap. Walter Benjamin uses the image of a pearl diver. The transmissibility of the past, he says, has been replaced by its citability. I think of the famous ukiyo-e shunga by Hokusai, Tako to Ama; the female pearl diver wrapped in erotic congress with octopi. Desire, Hamilakis reminds us, is the real name for assemblage.

The block of chalk, brought up from the depths, is given a number and placed in a box in the museum, a hut not far from the pit. It has moved only a very short distance in very many years: an exemplary delay, slow time itinerary, belated. Here is Spinoza on the movement of stones:

Further conceive, I beg, that a stone, while continuing in motion, should be capable of thinking and knowing, that it is endeavoring, as far as it can, to continue to move. Such a stone, being conscious merely of its own endeavor and not at all indifferent, would believe itself to be completely free, and would think that it continued in motion solely because of its own wish. [Spinoza 1955: 390]

² Freud believed that the only use that the child made of his toys was to "make them gone" [Derrida, 1987: 311]

It is a hot day in June. The air is heavy with thyme. Ravens have built a rough nest in a tree over the pit and the local RAF are hazing the site for fun. Inside the hut two women lift the chalk lump gingerly into the light. One of them has freshly painted red fingernails, vibrant against the white chalk, violence safely displaced into the symbolic.

The lump is not resilient or stable. It leaves parts of itself on the fingers, deposits white traces on the foam support, keen to disperse itself into the world, to circulate, disintegrate. The boundaries separating it from the world are excitable. The immediate reality of this experience produces anxiety in those around it. I want to call what is produced at this moment, its speeds and affects, an haecceity.

Whoever carved the block must have been white with dust. Perhaps it was carved for the dust. Chalk is sensitive enough to register the signs and clues of a situation. Things in chalk are never finished, easily erased, improvisatory, aleatory. "Material" is then no longer a stable substance, but a process, coding and de-coding, receiving and losing an imprint, dematerialising, deterritorialising. Whatever information is cut into this object is keen to lose its body.

In the *Treatise on Nomadology*, Deleuze and Guattari reflect at some length on stone-cutting. They distinguish an hylomorphic model, they call it 'Royal or State science', from the vague, fluent, etymologically 'vagabond' essence of 'nomad science'. They call morphological essences 'essentially and not accidentally inexact'. It occurs to me that this nomad science might be useful in describing the surfaces and shape of the Monkton-Up-Wimborne chalk lump.

The reason we are looking at the chalk is to record it. Data collection is here a very material interaction. The apparatus of capture is reflectance transformation imaging. Its precise capture of the friable time-surface of the chalk lump produces stable code. Aggregated images are visualised as beautiful still lives, heightened gradients, silver solarised surfaces. Pragmatically, the overlapping of multiple light sources allows us to see more, working and re-working processes become evident. What Andy seems to be saying is that cutting cultural information into the substrate produces an interface. Pattern and multiplicity operate to bring about an attachment between people and objects. The decorated surface forges a relation between us and objects, it ensnares us. Kristeva might hear echolalia. Decoding is not at issue, the media and filetype are irretrievably redundant. What we might recognise here beyond the lability of the mnemonic trace is the production and modification of form, or plasticity. The chalk has been given form and it produces thought-form.

Catherine Malabou describes neuroscientific research into epigenetic sculpting - the plasticity of the brain, the modelling of synapses, a living sculpture where information must cross gaps. Rather than mechanism, think entanglement; a brain modelled by our own nervous activity.

Benjamin W., *Understanding Brecht*, [Tr. Bostock A.] Verso Books, London. 2003

Deleuze G., & Guattari F., *A Thousand Plateaus, Capitalism and Schizophrenia*, [Tr. Massumi B.] The Athlone Press, 1988

Derrida J., *The Post Card: From Socrates to Freud and Beyond*. University of Chicago Press, 1987

Malabou C., *What Should We Do with Our Brains*, Fordham University Press, New York, 2008

Spinoza B., *On the Improvement of the Understanding, The Ethics, Correspondence*, [tr. Elwes R.H.M.] Dover Publications, New York, 1955 p390